

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/697,541	10/30/2003	Alan M. Buckwalter	G08.047/U	G08.047/U 7586		
	590 04/05/2007 off, Talwalkar & Allison I	EXAMINER				
Five Elm Street		MERCHANT, SHAHID R				
New Canaan, CT	C 06840	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3694			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
31 DA	YS	04/05/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		1	0/697,541	BUCKWALTER E	BUCKWALTER ET AL.			
		E	kaminer	Art Unit				
			nahid R. Merchant	3694				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum store to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may only and will expire SIX (6) Mo se the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	. `			
Status			•					
1\□	Responsive to communication(s) file	ad on						
′=	<u> </u>							
′—		<i>,</i> —		atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
∪(∨	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
,	·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·	.5. 11, 100 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims			•				
	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the a							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	is) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restrict	ion and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers	•		•				
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	·					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a)∐ accepte	ed or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	iner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12\□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f).	. •			
•	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
-/1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies				l Stage			
	application from the Internation	•	•		J			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action			ot received.				
					•			
A44 t-	Ma)							
Attachmen			·	v Summary (PTO-413)				
	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/697,541

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, 9, 13-19, 32-34 and 37-38, drawn to a method of identifying a trade-through transaction and generating an alert, classified in class 705, subclass 36R.
 - II. Claims 1, 7-9, 13-14, 20-27, 35 and 39, drawn to a method of identifying a trade-through transaction and tabulating fulfillment data, classified in class 705, subclass 36R.
 - III. Claims 1, 9-11 and 13-14, drawn to a method of identifying a tradethrough transaction and selecting an exchange for execution of an option limit order, classified in class 705, subclass 35.
 - IV. Claims 1, 9 and 12-14, drawn to a method of identifying a trade-through transaction and receiving exception data, classified in class 705, subclass 35.
 - V. Claims 28-31, 36 and 40, drawn to a method of determining a set of option limit orders and purging cancelled transaction data, classified in class 705, subclass 36R.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,541 Page 3

Art Unit: 3694

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions $\underline{I-IV}$ and \underline{V} are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are distinct. Inventions $\underline{I-IV}$ are directed to identifying an option limit \underline{order} and generating alerts and tabulating fulfillment data. Invention \underline{V} is directed to determining a \underline{set} of option limit \underline{orders} after the closing of the trading day. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 4. Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

Art Unit: 3694

5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as alerting a trader of a pending transaction. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as selecting an exchange to buy or sell stocks. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination IV has separate utility such as receiving exception data from a stock exchange. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

<u>Inventions II and III</u> are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in

Application/Control Number: 10/697,541

Art Unit: 3694

scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination <u>II</u> has separate utility such as tabulating fulfillment data from a stock transaction. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as tabulating fulfillment data from a stock transaction. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination IV has separate utility such as receiving exception data from a stock exchange. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,541 Page 6

Art Unit: 3694

6. The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 8. No telephone interview was conducted due to the complexity of the restriction requirement and since the examiner knows from the past experience that an election will not be made by telephone (see MPEP § 812.01).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,541

Art Unit: 3694

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahid R. Merchant whose telephone number is 571-270-1360. The examiner can normally be reached on First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammel can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SRM

JAG<mark>DISH N. P</mark>ATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER